

## **Boral Cement Limited Berrima Works**

# Non-Standard Fuels Pollutant Tracking First Half Year Report

October 2023



### **Table of Contents**

1.	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	Stack Testing Result	2
	1.2	Raw Material Inputs	3
	1.3	Kiln Fuel Inputs	4
	1.4	Total Fuel Inputs and Associated Emission Factors	5



#### 1. Introduction

In July 2015, Boral sought approval to modify the consent for the Berrima Cement Works to enable the use of Solid Waste Derived Fuel (SWDF) as an energy source. Modification 9 to the consent DA 401-11-2002 was subsequently approved which included a number of additional monitoring and management conditions covering the use of these alternative fuels. The consent also separated the use of standard fuels, being traditional coal and coal derivatives along with diesel for start-up and non-standard fuels being derived from waste. Non-Standard Fuels (NSF) is the broad term now used to cover the various waste derived fuels approved to be used in the cement plant.

Boral commenced using two types of NSF in August 2018, including Wood Waste (WW) and Refuse Derived Fuels (RDF) known as Solid Waste Derived Fuels (SWDF). Both materials have undergone separation and screening processes to remove contaminants such as, glass and metals. Product specifications have been established and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedures implemented.

As per condition 3.22 of the DA, Boral are required to implement a tracking program to undertake:

- a) Batch analysis of non-standard fuels received at the development as provided by suppliers and the results of any check analysis carried out by the applicant as part of the quality control management procedures
- b) A mass inventory of each pollutant entering the process in raw materials, conventional fuels and non-standard fuels, with particular attention to, but not limited to chlorine, mercury cadmium and chromium.
- c) Calculate emission factors for each pollutant based on inputs, outputs and measured air emissions and a variance in the emission factors from period to period.
- d) Any adjustments that may be necessary to non-standard fuel specifications from the tracking analysis.

The initial period of use of SWDF was part of a Proof of Performance Trial which included the submission of monthly reports and a Proof of Performance Trial Consolidated Six Month Report for Solid Waste Derived Fuels on 28 February 2019. On the 23 April 2019 the Department of Planning and Environment approved the ongoing use of SWDF following consultation with the EPA subject to:

- a) Limiting the amount of SWDF to be fired in Kiln 6 to 40%, as a percentage of total fuel
- b) Periodic stack testing being undertaken every three months for the first 12 months of use of SWDF. The monitored pollutants must be consistent with the requirements of the Environment Protection Licence (EPL 1698)
- c) Provision of a monitoring report that outlines the results of quarterly stack testing required in (a) and provides an assessment of compliance against the air emissions limits for the facility, to the satisfaction of the Secretary
- d) Periodic measurements of hydrogen chloride (HCL) taken every 3 months until such time the Secretary agrees the accuracy of the HCL CEMS is confirmed through successful calibration audits undertaken in accordance with USEPA Performance Specification 18.



Condition 3.23 of the DA required Boral Cement to submit a report that assesses the results of the tracking program every 3 months in the first year of operating non-standard fuels under this consent to be synchronised with stack testing and every six months thereafter.

The following report is covering detailed findings from the non-standard fuels Pollutant Tracking Program for the biannual testing following the approval for continual use of SWDF. This report incorporates the requirements of Condition 3.23.

As part of the tracking program we consolidate all raw material and fuel specification testing against quantities used and compare this to actual stack testing to determine an emission factor by unit of input by chemical.

#### 1.1 Stack Testing Result

On the 12 and 13<sup>th</sup> October 2023 stack testing undertaken at Berrima Cement was compliant with the licence limits as summaries in Table 1 below. A copy of the full report numbered R015613-1 is attached. Metals and Chlorine are outlined in the pollutant tracking discussion. Emissions were in compliance with the Environment Protection Licence 1698.

			12 & 13 Oct
			24
Parameter	Unit	Limits	R015613-1
Mercury	mg/m3	0.05	0.02
Type 1 and type 2 substances	mg/m3	0.5	<0.054
Solid particles	mg/m3	50	42
Nitrogen oxides	mg/m3	1250	110
Cadmium and Thallium	mg/m3	0.05	<0.003
Chlorine	mg/m3	50	0.33
Dioxine and Furans (I-TEQ middle bound)	ng/m3	0.1	0.0027
Hydrogen chloride (HCI)*	mg/m3	10	0.19
Hydrogen fluoride	mg/m3	1	0.083
Sulfur dioxide	mg/m3	50	0.057
Sulfuric acid mist and sulfur trioxide	mg/m3	50	0.06
Volatiles organic compounds	mg/m3	40	2.6

<sup>\*</sup>Note that HCl is well below the limit of 10mg/m3.



#### 1.2 Raw Material Inputs

The raw materials used within Kiln 6 include Limestone, Yellow Shale, Blue Shale, Steel Slag and Granulated Blast Furnace Slag. Table 2 summaries the percentage of each raw material input used, the chemical properties of each of the raw material inputs, and the total chemical properties of the raw feed combined in use during the stack testing in October 2023.

Table 2 – Raw Material Input Quantities and Chemical Properties

Chemical		Feed Source1	Feed Source2	Feed Source3	Feed Source4	Feed Source5	Feed Source3.1	Final Feed
Properties		Limestone	Yellow Shale	Blue Shale	GYP	Steel Slag	GBFS	
	Set Point %	81.50%	3.70%	9.00%	0.00%	2.00%	5.00%	101.20%
Arsenic	As (mg/kg)	3	37.6	6.2		2.1	0.7	4.47
Beryllium	Be (mg/kg)	0.2	1.2	1.4		0.5	7	0.69
Cadmium	Cd (mg/kg)	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.1	0.20
Chromium	Cr (mg/kg)	7.2	32.4	23		1430	31.7	39.32
Cobalt	Co (mg/kg)	2.1	13.6	12.9		2	0.1	3.42
Copper	Cu (mg/kg)	2.5	21.7	39.4		27.3	0.9	6.98
Mercury	Hg (mg/kg)	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.10
Manganese	Mn (mg/kg)	341	436	1080		26200	2900	1060.25
Nickel	Ni (mg/kg)	4.6	13.6	22.5		13.1	0.6	6.57
Lead	Pb (mg/kg)	3	39.5	21		2.3	0.1	5.85
Antimony	Sb (mg/kg)	0.4	0.9	0.3		0.2	0.1	0.40
Selenium	Se (mg/kg)	1.	1	1		1	3	1.11
Tin	Sn (mg/kg)	0.3	1.6	0.7		1.9	0.1	0.41
Vanadium	V (mg/kg)	6	45	51		3490	113	86.60
Thallium	Th (mg/kg)	0.1	0.3	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.11
Chlorine	CI (mg/kg)	20	30	50		10	280	36.110
mat/kg clinker								1.55

To interpret the table, 81.50% of the raw material is limestone. Within limestone there is 3 mg/kg of Arsenic (As), while yellow shale used at 3.70% contained 37.6 mg/kg of As. Combined with the other raw materials of blue shale, steel slag and granulated blast furnace slag, the total As of raw feed is 4.47 mg/kg.

To produce 1 kg of clinker, 1.55 kg of raw materials are required.



#### 1.3 Kiln Fuel Inputs

The fuel in use at Berrima during normal operating conditions i.e. excluding start-up conditions includes Coal and Solid Waste Derived fuels Wood Waste and Refuse Derived Fuel.

Table 3 – Kiln Fuel Input Quantities and Chemical Properties

Chemical		<b>Fuel Source 1</b>	Fuel Source 2	Fuel Source 3	Fuel Source 4	Final
Properties		Coal	Wood Benedict	RDF Bingo	Wood Brandown	Fuel - Kiln
	Set Point %	66.98%	12.97%	16.66%	3.39%	100.00%
Arsenic	As (mg/kg)	0.4	124	37	16	23.
Beryllium	Be (mg/kg)	0.6	1	1	1	0.1
Cadmium	Cd (mg/kg)	0.1	1	1	1	0.4
Chromium	Cr (mg/kg)	1.4	166	61	37	33.9
Cobalt	Co (mg/kg)	0.4	1	2	1	0.6
Copper	Cu (mg/kg)	7.5	95	43	22	25.0
Mercury	Hg (mg/kg)	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.
Manganese	Mn (mg/kg)	209	36	42	61	153.
Nickel	Ni (mg/kg)	0.5	1	2	2	0.9
Lead	Pb (mg/kg)	12.2	25	45	6	19.
Antimony	Sb (mg/kg)	0.1	3	44	2	7.5
Selenium	Se (mg/kg)	1	1	1	1	1.
Tin	Sn (mg/kg)	0.3	1	1	2	0.0
Vanadium	V (mg/kg)	4	1	2	3	3.
Thallium	Th (mg/kg)	0.1	1	1	1	0.
Chlorine	CI (mg/kg)	10	0.04	0.1	0.29	6.73
fuel/kg clinke	er	0.1126	0.0218	0.028	0.0057	0.16

Table 3 details the inventory of fuel input and the percentage of each fuel used. As can be seen 66.98% of the fuel in use was coal, with SWDF accounting for 33.02% total fuel, split between RDF and Wood.

Taking As as an example, coal contains 0.4 mg/kg and RDF 37 mg/kg. As makes up 23.1 mg/kg in the total fuel.

To produce 1kg of Clinker a total of 0.168 kg of fuel is consumed.



#### 1.4 Total Fuel Inputs and Associated Emission Factors

Table 4 collates the raw material and fuel inputs comparing to stack emissions to calculate an emission factor per unit of chemical input.

Table 4 – Emissions Factors per unit of input for raw materials and fuel

	Total Input	Stack Emissions		Emission factor	
	Raw material + Fuel				
	mg/kg clk	mg/Nm3	mg/kg clk	from input	
Arsenic	10.81	0.002	0.00523	0.00048	
Beryllium	1.20	0.0006	0.00157	0.00131	
Cadmium	0.37	0.00074	0.00193	0.00523	
Chromium	66.64	0.0018	0.00471	0.00007	
Cobalt	5.43	0.0008	0.00209	0.00039	
Copper	15.06	0.0015	0.00392	0.00026	
Mercury	0.17	0.02	0.05229	0.30598	
Manganese	1669.22	0.0078	0.02039	0.00001	
Nickel	10.33	0.0019	0.00497	0.00048	
Lead	12.28	0.0019	0.00497	0.00040	
Antimony	1.93	0.005	0.01307	0.00676	
Selenium	1.89	0.0076	0.01987	0.01050	
Tin	0.73	0.002	0.00523	0.00716	
Vanadium	134.77	0.001	0.00261	0.00002	
Thallium	0.24	0.002	0.00523	0.02224	
Chlorine	57.102	0.33	0.86279	0.01511	

Taking As as an example, the total As concentration for inputs into the kiln per kg of clinker produced is calculated by (raw material chemical/kg X kg materials/kg clinker) + (Kiln fuel chemical/kg X kiln fuel kg/kg clinker).

$$(4.47*1.55) + (23.1*0.168) = 10.81 \text{ mg/kg clinker}$$

The emission factor per unit of input for As is calculated by dividing the calculated emissions per kg of clinker by the total As input.

0.00523/10.81 = 0.000483



Table 5 is similar to Table 4 but calculates an emission factor based on the fuel only.

Table 5 – Emissions Factor fuel only

	Total Input	Stack Emissions		Emission factor	
	Fuel only				
	mg/kg clk	mg/Nm3	mg/kg clk	from input	
Arsenic	3.88	0.002	0.00523	0.00135	
Beryllium	0.12	0.0006	0.00157	0.01275	
Cadmium	0.07	0.00074	0.00193	0.02898	
Chromium	5.70	0.0018	0.00471	0.00083	
Cobalt	0.13	0.0008	0.00209	0.01627	
Copper	4.24	0.0015	0.00392	0.00092	
Mercury	0.01	0.02	0.05229	3.72572	
Manganese	25.84	0.0078	0.02039	0.00079	
Nickel	0.15	0.0019	0.00497	0.03414	
Lead	3.21	0.0019	0.00497	0.00155	
Antimony	1.32	0.005	0.01307	0.00990	
Selenium	0.17	0.0076	0.01987	0.11821	
Tin	0.09	0.002	0.00523	0.05505	
Vanadium	0.55	0.001	0.00261	0.00479	
Thallium	0.07	0.002	0.00523	0.07833	
Chlorine	1.131	0.33	0.86279	0.76264	

Any variance to the Emissions Factors in Table 4 & Table 5 can be used to determine the contribution from either raw materials, standard and non-standard fuels.



#### 1.5 Alternate Fuel Inputs and Total Inputs Raw Material and Fuel

Table 6 show the Alternate Fuel inputs against the total raw material and fuel inputs per unit of clinker produced.

Table 6 – Alternate Fuels inputs compared to total inputs from Raw materials and Fuels

	Input				
	Total Input	•			
	Raw material + Fuel	Alternative Fuels			
	mg/kg clk	mg/kg clk	% input from AF		
Arsenic	10.81	3.83	35.45%		
Beryllium	1.20	0.06	4.63%		
Cadmium	0.37	0.06	15.02%		
Chromium	66.64	5.54	8.31%		
Cobalt	5.43	0.08	1.54%		
Copper	15.06	3.40	22.58%		
Mercury	0.17	0.00	1.62%		
Manganese	1669.22	2.31	0.14%		
Nickel	10.33	0.09	0.86%		
Lead	12.28	1.84	14.98%		
Antimony	1.93	1.31	67.72%		
Selenium	1.89	0.06	2.93%		
Tin	0.73	0.06	8.38%		
Vanadium	134.77	0.09	0.07%		
Thallium	0.24	0.06	23.61%		
Chlorine	57.10	0.01	0.01%		

Taking As as an example, the total As concentration for inputs into the kiln per kg of clinker produced is 10.81 mg/kg clinker (see calculation for table 4)

The total As concentration for inputs from Alternate fuel is 3.83 mg/kg clinker. This represents 35.45% of the total As input in the process.

3.83/10.81 \*100 = 35.45%